UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Iowa

United States of America)
Naigondis Maurice Spates) Case No
Defendant)
2000 3 000000 000000	CLOSI DESIDING TOLLI
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Part I - Eligib	ility for Detention
Upon the	
Motion of the Government attorney pursual	nt to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own	motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i),	is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)	
and the community because the following conditions (1) the defendant is charged with one of the fo (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum (b) an offense for which the maximum ser (c) an offense for which a maximum term Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705	litions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person have been met: llowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two described in subparagraphs (a) through (jurisdiction had existed, or a combination	or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal of such offenses; or
(iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv)	a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>	ed of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
committed while the defendant was on release	ve for which the defendant has been convicted was epending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i> apsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

 ▶B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the committed one or more of the following offenses: ▶ (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); □ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; □ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of or more is prescribed; □ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or □ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)	nce of the defendant Act (21 f 10 years m term of
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)	n is
OR	
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	;
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention to Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	on hearing,
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonable the safety of any other person and the community.	y assure
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonable	
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonable the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably	
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably the defendant's appearance as required.	
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably the defendant's appearance as required. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably the defendant's appearance as required. An addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment	

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an

appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:

07/24/2017

United States Magistrate Judge